

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/626,491	JAUSSI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Terry L. Englund	2816

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Exmr Terry L. Englund. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Applicants' Rep Craige Thompson (Reg. No. 47,990). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 June 2005

**Time:** 12:35 PM EDT

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Prior Art rejections with new interpretations of claim limitations*

Claims discussed:

42

Prior art documents discussed:

*U.S. Patent 4,797,586 (Traa: Fig. 1); and U.S. Patent 5,148,162 (Crosby: Fig. 1)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The applicants' representative agreed to change claim 42 to ensure the recited limitations read over the delay related signals within the references discussed. See the Supplemental Examiner's Amendment for details.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
Terry L. Englund  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

  
Craige Thompson  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)